Redeploy Illinois

Shifting fiscal incentives to expand community resources, increase positive youth outcomes, and reduce youth incarceration

The Problem -

- Overreliance on Confinement due to lack of local alternatives
 - Nearly 30% of juvenile correctional population committed for court ordered mental health evaluation ("bring-back" orders)
 - 10%-12% of the youth correctional population comprised "court evals"

• Fiscal structure encouraged committing youth to state-funded corrections rather than finding a local (county) solution; if a youth is sent to the state, it costs the county less, when community-based alternatives are lacking

Research



- The Illinois Department of Corrections documented overreliance on corrections for youth.....particularly youth committed for "evaluation"
- Research documented success of alternatives to detention in IL. – particularly evening reporting centers
- Research documented success of evidence -based programming – i.e. Multi-Systemic Therapy, Family Functional Therapy

Developing a Consensus for Change

- Early on (mid-1990s), RECLAIM Ohio emerged as an interesting model for youth corrections reform in Illinois
- 2003 JJ reformers host series of discussions with key stakeholders in government, service, university, and advocacy sectors; Illinois legislators involved
- Public education & coalition building -- regional public opinion polls, legislative hearings, regional summits

2004 - Legislation passed

 Legislation establishing Redeploy Illinois passed the Illinois General Assembly with bipartisan sponsorship, without controversy & without any significant opposition in 2004; however:



 The initial appropriation was reduced from \$3 mil to \$2 mil

2004 Redeploy Illinois Legislation

- Provided that there be local responsibility and authority for planning, organizing, and coordinating services
- Selected Illinois Department of Human Services as the lead/coordinating state agency
- Set up pilot phase to develop Redeploy Illinois in a handful of counties / circuits
- Set up benchmark (25% reduction in youth incarceration) with "penalty" for failure to reduce juvenile commitments
- Applied only to youth charged with non-violent offenses
- Encouraged use of evidence-based programs
- Required evaluation
- Required report of outcomes to Legislature annually

Purpose

 To encourage the deinstitutionalization of juvenile offenders by establishing projects in counties or groups of counties that reallocate State funds from juvenile correctional confinement to local jurisdictions, which will establish a continuum of local, communitybased sanctions and treatment alternatives for juvenile offenders who would be incarcerated if those local services and sanctions did not exist.

Principles

- Restorative justice
- Youth should be treated in least restrictive manner
- Continuum of services and sanctions in communities; local options
- Local responsibility
- Public safety/accountability
- Program accountability

Legislature added funding



- Altho the theory was that eventually the reduction in corrections commitments would support expanded community-based programming, some funding had to be provided to "prime the pump"
- In the fall of 2004, the Legislature added \$2 million dollars to support the pilot phase of Redeploy Illinois

Implementation

Redeploy Oversight Board:
 Judges, Prosecutors,
 Probation, County, State
 agency representatives from
 child welfare and corrections,
 youth advocacy organizations,
 researchers

Application Process:

- Series of public hearings to solicit input from community leaders on Redeploy Illinois this community input was integrated into RFP process
- Public hearings all held in sites of pilot DMC communities

• Applications:

 Hesitation of counties to apply due to the possible implementation of penalties

First Year Results

- 4 pilot sites: 3 counties & one judicial circuit (which included 12 counties)
- Overall a **33 percent reduction** in juvenile commitments to state corrections; no evidence of significant increase in local detention utilization

Statutory revisions

- Legislation passed allowing Redeploy Illinois
 Oversight Board to reduce or modify the
 requirement of 25% reduction in corrections
 commitments (based on average of past 3 years)
- Legislation passed allowing Redeploy Illinois
 Oversight Board to approve a pilot that applies
 to a subset of a county

Second Year Results

• 44% reduction in commitments

Redeploy in operation

- 9 sites currently
- Planning grants initiated
- Active involvement of Oversight Board
- Technical assistance provided via "All Sites" meetings
- Youth incarceration reductions continue to exceed 25%
- All sites use a common assessment tool (YASI)
- All sites use evidence-based programming
- All sites have increased local collaboration

EVALUATION

- Annual review of Redeploy impact on commitments
- Three-year program reviews by Oversight Board
- Funding included to evaluate Redeploy pilots
- Each site is evaluated several times
- Annual report back to Legislature
- Recidivism study underway

Saving State Dollars

- In the first two years of implementation, the Redeploy IL pilot sites reduced commitments to state juvenile prisons by 44%, or 226 fewer youth
- Potential savings of \$11 million (over 2 year period)

Costs

State juvenile prison -\$71,000/yr

• Redeploy Illinois — \$2,600 to \$10,000/yr

State funding for Redeploy

- '05 \$2 mil
- '06 \$1.5 mil
- '07 \$2.295 mil
- '08 same
- '09 Gov proposed additional \$3 mil
- [vs. \$125 mil. annually for Juvenile Prisons]

Next steps - rest of state

- Proposed
 - Allocation of resources within Redeploy to be made available for any county or group of counties which need resources only occasionally for services to avoid incarceration for a limited number of youth.

EVALUATION

- Several evaluation efforts undertaken:
 - Implementation studies of first four pilot sites (Macon, St. Clair, Peoria Counties, plus 2nd
 Judicial Circuit); conducted by two different evaluators
 - Site visits to the four pilot sites conducted by Redeploy Illinois Oversight Board members and staff after 3 years of operations
 - Recidivism study underway
 - Implementation studies of five "Phase II" sites (Kankakee, Lee, McLean, Madison, and Montgomery Counties, plus 4th Judicial Circuit).

Prior Evaluation Findings

- 44% reduction in DJJ new admissions from pilot sites in the first two years
- 2001-2005: 7% decrease in new admissions to DJJ statewide
- 35% reduction in juvenile detention length of stay during first two years of Redeploy Illinois (2005-2006)

Prior Evaluation Findings

- Effective leadership, esp. including an active Juvenile Justice Council, is key
 - Judges, Prosecutors, Probation & Court Services,
 Treatment/Service Org's, Defense bar, Consultants,
 Faith-based Org's
- The demands of evidence-based practices are substantial, sometimes prohibitive (esp. when coupled with state funding policies)
- Government accountability is possible, but tricky
- Special challenges in rural/downstate areas (e.g., transportation)

Prior Evaluation Findings

- High risk youth are "high risk"; effectively identified for participation
- Redeploy Illinois sites correctly identifying youth deeper into the JJ system
- Juvenile Justice professionals report new insights into youth treatment modalities and possibilities
- Local quality control needs tending to
- School involvement needs improvement
- Governance issues
- Legislation was restrictive
- Legislative funding structure is restrictive

FY10 Annual Report

- 53% Average Reduction in Commitments A 2010 cost benefit analysis revealed, on average the 8 Redeploy sites reduced their commitments in 2010 by 53% percent from their baselines.
- According to the per capita cost of incarcerating one juvenile in DJJ, this
 decrease in commitments translates to a \$9,038, 927cost avoidance for the
 state of Illinois.

REDEPLOY ILLINOIS

2nd Circuit, Macon County,Peoria County and St. ClairCounty



2nd Circuit Collaboration

- OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUDGE
- DIRECTOR OF COURT SERVICES
- 2nd CIRCUIT JUVENILE JUSTICE COUNCIL
- STATES ATTORNEYS, PUBLIC DEFENDERS, SERVICE PROVIDERS, EDUCATORS, LAW ENFORCEMET, JUDGES, PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES
 - CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS
- ASSESSMENT CENTER SUPERINTENDENT
 - EXETER GROUP
 - PROGRAM MANAGER

2ND CIRCUIT REFERRAL PROCESS

- YOUTH COMES TO THE ATTENTION OF PROBATION
- YOUTH IS SCREENED FOR RISK LEVEL THROUGH YASI
- PROBATON OFFICER REVIEWS YASI AND YOUTH'S CURRENT ISSUES
 I.E. FAMILY, SCHOOL, CRIMINAL HISTORY (YOUTH AND FAMILY) AND MENTAL HEALTH HISTORY
- PROBATION OFFICER COMPLETES REDEPLOY REFERRAL FORM AND SENDS TO PROGRAM MANAGER
- CONSULTATION AMONG PROBATION OFFICER, PROGRAM MANAGER AND SERVICE PROVIDER
- SERVICE PROVIDER AND/OR PROBATION OFFICER CONTACT YOUTH AND FAMILY
- LEVEL ONE YOUTH: 13-17 YRS.; CURRENT OFFENSE PUNISHABLE BY SENTENCE TO DJJ; 1 PRIOR ADJUD.; MEDIUM-HIGH RISK
- LEVEL TWO YOUTH: ALL YOUTH EXCEPT STATUS OFFENDERS

2ND CIRCUIT REDEPLOY ILLINOIS PROGRAMS

- MULTI-SYSTEMIC THERAPY
- FUNCTIONAL FAMILY THERAPY
- WASHINGTON AGGRESSION INTERRUPTION TRAINING
- VICTIM-OFFENDER MEDIATION COUNSELING
- GPS MONITORING
- JUVENILE ASSESSEMENT CENTER
 - MENTAL HEALTH, PSYCHLOGICAL, PSYCHIATRIC, DRUG AND SEX OFFENDER ASSSSMENTS

2ND CIRCUIT DJJ COMMITMENT REDUCTIONS

PROGRAM	ELIGIBLE	% REDUCTION	FEWER		
PERIOD	COMMITMENTS	FROM BASELINE	INCARCERATED		
1/1/05-12/31/05	22	45%	18		
1/1/06-12/31/06	30	25%	10		
1/1/07-12/31/07	20	41%	20		
3 YEAR FIGURES	72 YOUTH	40% 4	8 FEWER YOUTH		
	INCARCERATEI)	INCARCERATED		
BASELINE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE COMMITMENTS = 40					

MACON COUNTY COLLABORATION

- JUVENILE COURT JUDGE
- COMMUNITY A.C.C.E.S.S.
- STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
- DCFS AND SERVICE PROVIDERS
- MENTAL HEALTH PROVIDER
- FAITH COMMUNITY AND COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES
- PROBATION

MACON COUNTY REFERRAL PROCESS

- YOUTH REFERRED FROM COURT TO PROBATION
- SHOCKWAVE OR YOUTH ADVOCATE PROGRAM PROVIDES INITIAL ENGAGEMENT PROCESS
- IF YOUTH AND FAMILY AGREES TO PARTICIPATE, YOUTH IS REFERRED TO HERITAGE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CENTER FOR A BIO-PSYCHO-SOCIAL ASSESSEMENT AND TO PROBATION FOR YASI
- A MINIMUM OF ONE HOME VISIT IS CONDUCTED BY THE HOME INTERVENTIONIST
- MULTIPLE HOME VISITS ARE CONDUCTED BY PROBATION
- MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM (MDT) STAFFS THE CASE WITHIN 30 DAYS AND MAKES RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COURT FOR ACCEPTANCE OR DENIAL INTO COMMUNITY A.C.C.E.S.S.

MACON COUNTY REDEPLOY ILLINOIS PROGRAMS

- HOME INTERVENTION SERVICES FAMILY EMERGENCY NEEDS ASSESSEMENT (UTILITIES, FOOD, CLOTHING ETC.), TRANSPORTATION, INTERNAL CASE MANAGEMENT, LINKAGE TO COMMUNITY SERVICES
- PARENTING PROJECT SHOCKWAVE PROVIDES PARENT SUPPORT GROUP AT LOVE FELLOWSHIP CHURCH
- COGNITIVE EDUCATION GROUPS PROBATION AND HERITAGE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CENTER
- VOCATIONAL EDUCATION GROUPS PROBATION
- COMMUNITY RESOURCE BOARDS COMMUNITY MENTORS
- SUSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT
- FLEX FUND MONITORING BY HERITAGE
- MOWING PROGRAM
- VICTIM SERVICES STATES ATTORNEY

MACON COUNTY DJJ COMMITMENT REDUCTIONS

PROGRAM	ELIGIBLE	% REDUCTION	FEWER
PERIOD	COMMITMENTS	FROM BASELINE	INCARCERATED
1/1/05-12/31/05	30	41%	21
1/1/06-12/31/06	12	76%	39
1/1/07-12/31/07	18	65%	33
3 YEAR FIGURES	60 YOUTH	61%	93 FEWER YOUTH
2 LEVILLIOOVER		•	
	INCARCERATED		INCARCERATED

BASELINE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE COMMITMENTS = 51

PEORIA COUNTY COLLABORATION

- PEORIA COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COUNCIL (2002)
 - CHIEF JUDGE
 - JUVENILE COURT JUDGE
 - STATES ATTORNEY 'S OFFICE
 - DIRECTOR OF THE PEORIA COUNTY COURT SERVICES
 - CHIEF JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICER
 - SUPERINTENDENT OF THE PEORIA COUNTY JUVENILE DETENTION CENTER
 - CHILDREN'S HOME ASSOCIATION OF ILLINOIS REPRESENTATIVES
 - UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS (PEORIA) SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
 - PEORIA POLICE CHIEF
 - PEORIA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
 - REPRESENTATIVES OF PEORIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS
 - COMMUNITY MEMBERS
 - PEORIA COUNTY BOARD

PEORIA COUNTY REFERRAL PROCESS

- JUVENILE COURT JUDGE
 - 21 DAY STABILIZATION PERIOD
 - SENTENCE

- PEORIA COUNTY PROBATION OFFICE
 - ADMINISTRATIVE SANCTION

PEORIA COUNTY REDEPLOY ILLINOIS PROGRAMS

- ASSESSMENT EACH YOUTH ASSESSED FOR RISK AND PROTECTIVE FACTORS; YASI AND SOCIAL HISTORY OBTAINED FROM PROBATION; INTERVIEWS WITH YOUTH AND FAMILY
- SERVICE PLAN DEVELOPMENT INDIVIDUALIZED FAMILY SERVICE PLAN DEVELOPED WITH YOUTH AND PARENTS
- 21 DAY STABILIZATION PERIOD PLACEMENT AT PEORIA YOUTH FARM FOR MONITORING AND SUPERVISION WHILE PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS ARE COMPLETED BY JOHN R. DAY & ASSOCIATES OR ANTIOCH GROUP
- CASE MANAGEMENT THROUGH CHILDREN'S HOME ASSOCIATION OF ILLINOIS IN HOME VISITS; COMMUNITY SUPERVISION; COMMUNITY LINKAGES
- AGGRESSION REPLACEMENT TRAINING
- FUNCTIONAL FAMILY THERAPY (NEW)

PEORIA COUNTY DJJ COMMITMENT REDUCTIONS

PROGRAM	ELIGIBLE	% REDUCTION	FEWER
PERIOD	COMMITMENTS	FROM BASELINE	INCARCERATED
7/1/05-6/30/06	48	39%	30
7/1/06-6/30/07	49	37%	29
7/1/07-6/31/08	49	37%	29
3 YEAR FIGURES	S 145 YOUTH INCARCERATE	38% D	88 FEWER INCARCERATED

BASELINE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE COMMITMENTS –78

ST. CLAIR COUNTY COLLABORATION

ST. CLAIR COUNTY YOUTH COALITION (SCCYC)

- MADE UP OF MORE THAN 100 LOCAL STAKEHOLDERS AND SERVICE PROVIDERS
- DEVELOPED IN 2001 WHEN A LOCAL TEEN SHELTER WAS IN DANGER OF BEING CLOSED
- INCLUDES YOUTH SERVICE PROVIDERS AS WELL AS COUNTY STAKEHOLDERS, LAW ENFORCEMENT AND FAITH COMMUNITY MEMBERS
- PROVIDES DIRECT OVERSIGHT TO THE ST. CLAIR COUNTY REDEPLOY ILLINOIS PROGRAM

ST. CLAIR COUNTY REFERRAL PROCESS

- TIER ONE YOUTH
 - ALL YOUTH COMING INTO COURT
 - YASI PRE-SCREEN CONDUCTED BY COURT LIAISON
 - COMMUNITY LINKAGE
- TIER TWO YOUTH
 - SCORED MEDIUM TO HIGH RISK ON FULL YASI BY PROBATION
 - HAVE MULTIPLE RISK FACTORS IMPEDING PRGRESS ON PROBATION
 - ASSESSMENT IS MADE WITH A FULL PSYCHOSOCIAL ASSESSEMENT
 - PLANNING MEETING WITH THE FAMILY IS HELD TREATMENT PLAN DEVELOPED
- TIER THREE YOUTH YOUTH REFERRED FOR A SOCIAL HISTORY TO BE COMPLETED BASED UPON THE STATES ATTORNEY RECOMMENDING A COMITMENT TO DJJ
 - 30 DAY REPORT COMPLETED

ST. CLAIR COUNTY REDEPLOY ILLINOIS PROGRAMS

- INTENSIVE CASE MANAGEMENT KIDS HOPE UNITED
- MST
- FFT
- WAIT
- ART THERAPY
- PSYCHIATRIC AND PSYCHLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS DR. JEREMY JEWELL
- EDUCATIONAL ADVOCACY
- MENTORING PROGRAM FOR HIGH RISK YOUTH THROUGH BIG BROTHRS/BIG SISTERS AN SIU EDWARDSVILLE

ST. CLAIR COUNTY DJJ COMMITMENT REDUCTIONS

PROGRAM PERIOD	ELIGIBLE COMMITMENTS	% REDUCTION FROM BASELINE	FEWER INCARCERATED
7/1/05-6/30/06	62	28%	24
7/1/06-6/30/07	20	77%	66
7/1/07-6/31/08	11	85%	63
3 YEAR FIGURES	S 93 YOUTH INCARCERATEI	62%	153 FEWER INCARCERATED

BASELINE FOR YEARS I AND 2 = 86 AND FOR YEAR 3 = 74

Thank you

